Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101
ELLICOTT CITY MD 21043

**COPY MAILED** 

APR 1 0 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Hung-Che CHIU

Application No. 09/688,986

Filed: October 17, 2000 :

Attorney Docket No. MR2349-504

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on January 31, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed on September 23, 2004, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extension(s) of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned, by operation of law, on December 24, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 6, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, and the \$395 filing fee; (2) the petition fee of \$750; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the reply to the final Office action of September 23, 2004 is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the application. If the person signing the petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until appropriate instructions are received.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Anatoly Vortman at (571) 272-6051, or in his absence to the undersigned at (571) 272-7099.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center AU 3628 for appropriate action on the RCE and concurrently filed amendment(s).

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Cc: Steven M. Rabin 1101 14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005